

Practice session 1

Exercise 1.1: Consider the interpretation $\mathcal{I}_x = \langle \Delta, I \rangle$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \{\text{Nick, Mary, Ann, Steve, John}\} \\ \text{Human}^I &= \Delta \\ \text{Female}^I &= \{\text{Mary, Ann}\} \\ \text{hasChild}^I &= \{\langle \text{Nick, Ann} \rangle, \langle \text{Mary, Ann} \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \text{Ann, John} \rangle, \langle \text{Steve, John} \rangle\}.\end{aligned}$$

First, evaluate the meanings of the concept expressions appearing on the left and right hand side of the following \mathcal{AL} axioms, in the example interpretation \mathcal{I}_x . Next, decide if the interpretation \mathcal{I}_x is a model of the axiom.

- (a) $\forall \text{hasChild}.\perp \sqsubseteq \neg \text{Female}$.
- (b) $\forall \text{hasChild}.\text{Female} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{hasChild}.\top$.
- (c) $\forall \text{hasChild}.\perp \sqsubseteq \forall \text{hasChild}.\text{Female}$.
- (d) $\text{Female} \sqcap \forall \text{hasChild}.\text{Female} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{hasChild}.\top$.
- (e) $\forall \text{hasChild}.\text{Female} \sqcap \forall \text{hasChild}.\neg \text{Female} \equiv \forall \text{hasChild}.\perp$.

Decide which of the above axioms will be satisfied by *all* their interpretations.

Exercise 1.2: Is there an interpretation which is a model of axiom (b) listed in the above Exercise 1.1? If so, give an example. Try to provide a simpler reformulation of axiom (b).

Exercise 1.3: Consider the DL axioms listed under (a)–(e) in Exercise 1.1, and let $T = \text{Female} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{hasChild}.\top$.

- (a) Decide which of the axioms (a)–(e) is a consequence of an empty TBox.
 - (b) Decide which of the axioms is a consequence of a TBox containing the only axiom T .
 - (c) Is there an axiom among these which is equivalent to T ?
-

Exercise 1.4: Decide if the following concepts can be formalised using the language \mathcal{AL} and its extensions \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{U} , and \mathcal{E} . If so, formulate a concept expression corresponding to the given concept.

- (a) People with at least one tall child.
- (b) People with at most one tall child.
- (c) People with at least one child who is either blonde or tall.
- (d) People all of whose children are either blonde or tall.
- (e) People who do not have at least one tall child.
- (f) People none of whose children are either blonde or tall.

Try to give multiple equivalent formalisations of the concepts above. For each, list the single-letter abbreviations of the language extensions it uses.

Exercise 1.5: Using the semantics of \mathcal{ALC} decide which of the following statements hold.

- | | |
|--|--|
| (a) $\{C \equiv \top\} \models \forall R.C \equiv \top.$ | (b) $\{\forall R.C \equiv \top\} \models C \equiv \top.$ |
| (c) $\{C \equiv \perp\} \models \exists R.C \equiv \perp.$ | (d) $\{\exists R.C \equiv \perp\} \models C \equiv \perp.$ |
| (e) $\models \forall R.C_1 \sqcap \forall R.C_2 \sqsubseteq \forall R.(C_1 \sqcap C_2).$ | (f) $\models \forall R.C_1 \sqcap \forall R.C_2 \sqsupseteq \forall R.(C_1 \sqcap C_2).$ |
| (g) $\models \exists R.C_1 \sqcap \exists R.C_2 \sqsubseteq \exists R.(C_1 \sqcap C_2).$ | (h) $\models \exists R.C_1 \sqcap \exists R.C_2 \sqsupseteq \exists R.(C_1 \sqcap C_2).$ |
| (i) $\models \forall R.C_1 \sqcup \forall R.C_2 \sqsubseteq \forall R.(C_1 \sqcup C_2).$ | (j) $\models \forall R.C_1 \sqcup \forall R.C_2 \sqsupseteq \forall R.(C_1 \sqcup C_2).$ |
| (k) $\models \exists R.C_1 \sqcup \exists R.C_2 \sqsubseteq \exists R.(C_1 \sqcup C_2).$ | (l) $\models \exists R.C_1 \sqcup \exists R.C_2 \sqsupseteq \exists R.(C_1 \sqcup C_2).$ |

Exercise 1.6: Decide if the following concepts and statements can be formalised in the \mathcal{ALCN} language. If so, formulate the appropriate concept expression or terminological axiom.

- (a) People with at least one blonde child.
- (b) People with at most one child.
- (c) People with exactly one child.
- (d) People with exactly one blonde child.
- (e) Mothers having at least three children are optimists.
- (f) Anyone can have at most one spouse.

Exercise 1.7: Consider the following roles: [hasParent](#), [hasMother](#), [hasFather](#), [hasSpouse](#), [hasAncestor](#), [hasRelative](#). Build the role hierarchy of this set of roles, i.e. list all the role inclusion axioms involving these roles. Also declare the appropriate roles transitive.

Exercise 1.8: Consider the roles listed in Exercise 1.7, together with the role axioms provided by you as the solution to the exercise. Decide which of the roles are simple.

Exercise 1.9: Formalise the following concepts and statements using the *SHI* language. Use the `hasParent`, `hasSibling`, and `hasPart` atomic roles only.

- (a) People with at least one tall child.
- (b) People who only have tall children.
- (c) Children with at least one tall parent.
- (d) Parts of a car.
- (e) A car which has critical parts that are faulty is itself faulty.
- (f) Children of a parent with at least one tall child are either tall themselves or have a tall sibling.

Exercise 1.10: Formalise the following concepts and statements using the *SHIQ* language. Use the atomic roles `hasParent` and `hasComponent`.

- (a) People with at least two tall children.
- (b) Cars with at most two faulty components.
- (c) A reliable system is not faulty if it has at most one faulty component.¹

Exercise 1.11: Transform the following English sentences into a TBox using the concepts `Human`, `Man`, `Woman`, `MarriedMan`, `Female`, and the role `hasWife`.

- (a) A man is a human who is not female.
- (b) A married man is a man.
- (c) A married man is someone who has a wife.
- (d) A wife of a man is a woman.
- (e) A woman is a female human.
- (f) No man can have more than one wife.
- (g) No woman can be the wife of two men.

Split the above TBox into a definitional part and a background knowledge part. Show that this TBox is definitorial. Make a sample base interpretation and derive the meaning of the name symbols.

Exercise 1.12: Build the expansion of the TBox you supplied as a solution to Exercise 1.11.

¹Reliable systems use certain techniques, such as duplication of components, to achieve correct behaviour even if some of their components are faulty.

Exercise 1.13: For each of the following reasoning tasks construct a concept C , such that the given reasoning task is equivalent to checking if C is unsatisfiable.

- (a) Is **MarriedMan** subsumed by **Human**?
- (b) Does $\exists \text{hasWife}.\top$ include **Human**?
- (c) Is **MarriedMan** disjoint from **Woman**?
- (d) Are the concepts $\exists \text{hasWife}.\top$ and $\exists \text{hasWife}^{\neg}.\top$ disjoint?
- (e) Are the concepts **Man** \sqcup **Woman** and **Human** equivalent?

In the context of the TBox of Exercise 1.11, decide which of the above reasoning tasks should return a positive answer.

Exercise 1.14: Consider the following interpretation \mathcal{I}_p :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\mathcal{I}_p} &= \{a, b, c, d, e\}; \\ \text{Faulty}^{\mathcal{I}_p} &= \{a, d, e\}; \\ \text{ReliableSystem}^{\mathcal{I}_p} &= \{a\}; \\ \text{hasComponent}^{\mathcal{I}_p} &= \{\langle a, b \rangle, \langle a, c \rangle, \langle b, d \rangle, \langle c, e \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

Find out the meanings, in interpretation \mathcal{I}_p , of the following concept expressions and terminological axioms:

- (a) **Faulty** \sqcap \neg **ReliableSystem**.
- (b) $\forall \text{hasComponent}^{\neg}.\neg$ **Faulty**.
- (c) $\exists \text{hasComponent}^{\neg}.\neg$ **Faulty**.
- (d) $\exists \text{hasComponent}^{\neg}.$ **Faulty**.
- (e) $(\leq 1 \text{hasComponent}.$ **Faulty** $)$.
- (f) $(\geq 4 \text{hasComponent}.$ **Faulty** $)$.
- (g) $(\leq 1 \text{hasComponent}.\exists \text{hasComponent}.$ **Faulty** $)$.
- (h) $(\geq 2 \text{hasComponent}.\exists \text{hasComponent}.\neg \text{Faulty} \sqcup (\geq 2 \text{hasComponent}^{\neg}.\top))$.
- (i) $\text{Trans}(\text{hasComponent})$.
- (j) $\exists \text{hasComponent}.$ **Faulty** \sqsubseteq $\exists \text{hasComponent}^{\neg}.$ **Faulty**.
- (k) $\exists \text{hasComponent}.$ **Faulty** \sqsubseteq **Faulty** \sqcup $\exists \text{hasComponent}^{\neg}.\neg$ **Faulty**.
- (l) **Faulty** \sqcap **ReliableSystem** \sqsubseteq $(\geq 2 \text{hasComponent}.$ **Faulty** $)$.
- (m) **ReliableSystem** \sqcup $\exists \text{hasComponent}^{\neg}.$ **ReliableSystem** $\equiv \top$.
- (n) \neg **Faulty** \sqsubseteq $(\leq 1 \text{hasComponent}.$ **Faulty** $)$.