

# Contents

## 2 Declarative Programming with Constraints

- Motivation
- Constraint Logic Programming (CLP)
- CLPFD basics
- CLPFD internals
- **Reified constraints**
- Combinatorial constraints
- Labeling
- FDBG
- Improving efficiency
- Modelling
- Disjunctions in CLPFD
- User-defined constraints (ADVANCED)
- Some further global constraints (ADVANCED)
- Closing remarks

## Reification – introductory example

- Consider variables  $U$  in  $0..9$  and  $V$  in  $0..9$
- Try encoding the constraint  $\text{ex1geq5}(U,V)$ : exactly one of  $U$  and  $V$  is  $\geq 5$ .
- A possible helper:  $\text{'x'}\geq 5 \leftrightarrow \text{'b'}$  ( $X, B$ ): The boolean (i.e. 0 or 1 valued) variable  $B$  takes the value 1 iff  $X \# \geq 5$  holds
- Try implementing this helper constraint using an arithmetic constraint
 
$$\text{'x'}\geq 5 \leftrightarrow \text{'b'}$$
 ( $X, B$ ) :-  $B \# = X/5$ .
- Using the helper it is easy to implement  $\text{ex1geq5}(U,V)$ :
 
$$\text{ex1geq5}(U, V) \text{ :- 'x'}\geq 5 \leftrightarrow \text{'b'}$$
 ( $U, B1$ ),  $\text{'x'}\geq 5 \leftrightarrow \text{'b'}$  ( $V, B2$ ),  
 $B1 + B2 \# = 1$ .
- The  $\text{'x'}\geq 5 \leftrightarrow \text{'b'}$  ( $X, B$ ) helper constraint reflects (or **reifies**) the truth value of  $X \# \geq 5$  in the boolean variable  $B$
- `library(clpfd)` supports reified constraints in general:
 
$$\text{'x'}\geq 5 \leftrightarrow \text{'b'}$$
 ( $X, B$ ) :-  
 $X \# \geq 5 \# \leq B$ .

This works without any limitation on the domain of  $x$ .

## Reification – what is it?

- Reification = reflecting the truth value of a constraint into a 0/1-variable
- Form:  $C \#<=> B$ , where  $C$  is a constraint and  $B$  is a 0/1-variable
- Example:  $(X \#>= 5) \#<=> B$  (\*)
- Meaning:  $C$  holds if and only if  $B=1$
- 4 implications:
  - If  $C$  holds, then  $B$  must be 1
  - If  $\neg C$  holds, then  $B$  must be 0
  - If  $B=1$ , then  $C$  must hold
  - If  $B=0$ , then  $\neg C$  must hold
- Not every constraint can be reified
  - Arithmetic formula constraints ( $\#$ -,  $\#<$ -, etc.) **can** be reified
  - The  $X$  in *ConstRange* membership constraint **can** be reified, e.g. rewrite (\*) to a membership constraint:  $(X \text{ in } 5..sup) \#<=> B$
  - Global constraints (e.g. *all\_distinct/1*, *sum/3*) **cannot** be reified

## Reification – what is it good for?

- 1 Use the 0/1-variables – that reflect the truth value of reified constraints – in **propositional** (logical) constraints
- 2 Use the 0/1-variables – that reflect the truth value of reified constraints – in **arithmetic** constraints
- 3 Combine multiple constraints with the help of propositional (logical) operators

# 1. Propositional constraints

- Propositional connectives allowed by SICStus Prolog CLPFD:

$\# \setminus Q$	negation	<code>op(710, fy, # \ ).</code>
$P \# / \setminus Q$	conjunction	<code>op(720, yfx, # / \ ).</code>
$P \# \setminus Q$	exclusive or	<code>op(730, yfx, # \ ).</code>
$P \# \setminus / Q$	disjunction	<code>op(740, yfx, # \ / ).</code>
$P \# \Rightarrow Q$	implication	<code>op(750, xfy, # \Rightarrow ).</code>
$Q \# \Leftarrow P$	implication	<code>op(750, yfx, # \Leftarrow ).</code>
$P \# \Leftarrow \Rightarrow Q$	equivalence	<code>op(760, yfx, # \Leftarrow \Rightarrow ).</code>

- The operand of a propositional constraint can be
  - a variable  $B$ , whose domain automatically becomes  $0..1$ ; or
  - an integer (0 or 1); or
  - a reifiable constraint; or
  - recursively, a propositional constraint.
- The propositional constraints are built from variables, integers and reifiable constraints using the above operators
- Example:  $(X\#>5) \# \Leftarrow \Rightarrow B1, (Y\#>7) \# \Leftarrow \Rightarrow B2, B1 \# \setminus / B2$

## 2. Using 0/1-variables in arithmetic constraints

- 0/1-variables can be used just like any other FD-variable, e.g., in arithmetic calculations
- Typical usage: counting the number of times a given constraint holds
- Example:

```
% pcount(L, N): list L has N positive elements.  
pcount([X|Xs], N) :-  
    (X #> 0) #<=> B,  
    N1 #= N-B,  
    pcount(Xs, N1).  
pcount([], 0).
```

### 3. Combining constraints by means of propositional operators

- It is possible to combine multiple constraints with the help of propositional (logical) operators
  - Example:  
 $(X\#>5) \#\backslash/ (Y\#>7)$
  - Handled by transforming it to a set of reifications and arithmetic constraints:  
 $(X\#>5) \#\Leftrightarrow B1, (Y\#>7) \#\Leftrightarrow B2, B1+B2\#>0$
  - Not possible with non-reifiable constraints
    - Example:  $(X\#>5) \#\backslash/ \text{all\_different}([X,Y])$  will lead to an error

## Executing reified constraints

- Posting the constraint  $C \#<=> B$  immediately implies  $B \text{ in } 0..1$
- The execution of  $C \#<=> B$  requires three daemons:
  - When  $B$  is **instantiated**:
    - if  $B=1$ , **post**  $C$ ; if  $B=0$ , **post**  $\neg C$
  - When  $C$  is **entailed** (i.e. the store implies  $C$ ), **set**  $B$  to 1
  - When  $C$  is **disentailed** (i.e.  $\neg C$  is entailed), **set**  $B$  to 0



## Entailment levels

Detecting entailment can be done with different levels of precision:

- A reified **membership** constraint  $C$  detects **domain-entailment**, i.e.  $B$  is set as soon as  $C$  is a consequence of the store
- A linear **arithmetic** constraint  $C$  is guaranteed to detect **bound-entailment**, i.e.  $B$  is set as soon as  $C$  is a consequence of the interval closure of the store
  - The interval closure is obtained by removing the ‘holes’ in the domains
  - Example:
    - Store:  $X \text{ in } \{1,3\}, Y \text{ in } \{2,4\}, Z \text{ in } \{2,4\}$
    - Interval closure:  $X \text{ in } \{1,2,3\}, Y \text{ in } \{2,3,4\}, Z \text{ in } \{2,3,4\}$
    - Constraint:  $(X+Y \neq Z) \# \Leftrightarrow B$
    - The store actually implies  $x+y \neq z$  (odd+even  $\neq$  even), but its interval closure does not  
 $\Rightarrow$  Result will be  $B \text{ in } 0..1$  instead of  $B=1$
- At the latest when a constraint becomes ground, its (dis)entailment is detected

## Conversion from pure Prolog

Scheme for converting pure Prolog conditionals to CLPFD code using reification:

```
foo(...) :- NonrecTest.
foo(...) :-
    (   Cond -> Then
    ;   Else
    ),
foo(...).
```



```
foo(...) :- NonrecTest#.
foo(...) :-
    Cond# #<=> B,
    B #=> Then#,
    #\ B #=> Else#,
foo(...).
```

Cond, Then, Else and NonrecTest

- should contain solely arithmetic tests and operations
- are transformed to their constraint counterparts (mostly by simply inserting #-s): Cond#, Then#, Else# and NonrecTest#

## Conversion from pure Prolog – example

```
% pcount(L, N): L has N positive elements.
```

```
pcount_pure(L,N) :-
    pcount_pure1(L,0,N).
```

```
pcount_pure1([],N,N).
pcount_pure1([X|Xs],N0,N) :-
    (   X>0 -> N1 is N0+1
    ;   N1=N0
    ),
    pcount_pure1(Xs,N1,N).
```



```
pcount_clpfd(L,N) :-
    pcount_clpfd1(L,0,N).
```

```
pcount_clpfd1([],N,N).
pcount_clpfd1([X|Xs],N0,N) :-
    X#>0 #<=> B,
    B #=> N1#=#N0+1,
    #\ B #=> N1#=#N0,
    pcount_clpfd1(Xs,N1,N).
```

# Contents

## 2 Declarative Programming with Constraints

- Motivation
- Constraint Logic Programming (CLP)
- CLPFD basics
- CLPFD internals
- Reified constraints
- Combinatorial constraints
- Labeling
- FDBG
- Improving efficiency
- Modelling
- **Disjunctions in CLPFD**
- User-defined constraints (ADVANCED)
- Some further global constraints (ADVANCED)
- Closing remarks

# Handling disjunctions

- Example: intervals  $[x, x + 5]$  and  $[y, y + 5]$  are disjoint:

$$(x + 5 \leq y) \vee (y + 5 \leq x)$$

- Reification-based solution

```
| ?- domain([X,Y], 0, 6), X+5 #=< Y #\ / Y+5 #=< X.  
    => X in 0..6, Y in 0..6
```

- Speculative solution

```
| ?- domain([X,Y], 0, 6), (X+5 #=< Y ; Y+5 #=< X).  
    => X in 0..1, Y in 5..6 ? ;  
    => X in 5..6, Y in 0..1 ? ; no
```

- Solution (hack?) with a clever use of arithmetics:

```
| ?- domain([X,Y], 0, 6),  
    scalar_product([1,-1], [X,Y], #=, D, [consistency(domain)]),  
    abs(D) #>= 5.  
    => X in (0..1)\/(5..6), Y in (0..1)\/(5..6) ?
```

## Constructive disjunction (CD)

- Assume a disjunction  $C_1 \vee \dots \vee C_n$
- Let  $D(X, S)$  = the domain of  $X$  in store  $S$
- The idea of constructive disjunction:
  - For each  $i$ , let  $S_i$  be the store obtained by adding  $C_i$  to  $S$
  - Proceed with store  $S_U$ , the union of  $S_i$ , i.e. for all  $X$ ,  
 $D(X, S_U) = \cup_i D(X, S_i)$
- Algorithmically:
  - For each  $i$ :
    - post  $C_i$
    - save the new domains of the variables
    - undo  $C_i$
  - Narrow the domain of each variable to the union of its saved domains

## Manipulating the domains – reflection predicates

- The representation of a constraint variable contains
  - the size of the domain
  - the lower bound of the domain
  - the upper bound of the domain
  - the domain as an FD-set (internal representation format)
- The above pieces of information can be obtained (in constant time) using
  - `fd_size(X, Size)`: `Size` is the size (number of elements) of the domain of `X` (integer or `sup`).
  - `fd_min(X, Min)`: `Min` is the lower bound of `X`'s domain; `Min` can be an integer or the atom `inf`
  - `fd_max(X, Max)`: `Max` is the upper bound of `X`'s domain (integer or `sup`).
  - `fd_set(X, Set)`: `Set` is the domain of `X` in FD-set format
- Further reflection predicates
  - `fd_dom(X, Range)`: `Range` is the domain of `X` in *ConstRange* format
  - `fd_degree(X, D)`: `D` is the number of constraints attached to `X`

## FD-set vs. ConstRange format

```
| ?- X in 1..9, X#\=5, fd_set(X,S), fd_dom(X,R).
```

⇒ S = [[1|4],[6|9]], R = (1..4)\/(6..9)

FD-set is an internal format; user code should not make any assumptions about its representation



## Manipulating the domains – FD-set operations

Some of the many useful operations:

- `is_fdset(Set)`: Set is a proper FD-set.
- `empty_fdset(Set)`: Set is an empty FD-set.
- `fdset_parts(Set, Min, Max, Rest)`: Set consists of an initial interval `Min..Max` and a remaining FD-set `Rest`. Can be used both for splitting and composing.
- `fdset_interval(Set, Min, Max)`: Set represents the interval `Min..Max`.
- `fdset_union(Set1, Set2, Union)`: The union of `Set1` and `Set2` is `Union`.
- `fdset_union(Sets, Union)`: The union of the list of FD-sets `Sets` is `Union`.
- `fdset_instersection/[2,3]`: analogous to `fdset_union/[2,3]`
- `fdset_complement(Set1, Set2)`: `Set2` is the complement of `Set1`.
- `list_to_fdset(List, Set)`, `fdset_to_list(Set, List)`: conversions between FD-sets and lists
- `X in_set Set`: Similar to `X in Range` but for FD-sets

## Implementing constructive disjunction

- Computing the CD of a list of constraints  $C_s$  wrt. a *single* variable  $Var$ :

```
cdisj(Cs, Var) :-
    findall(S, (member(C,Cs),C,fd_set(Var,S)), Doms),
    fdset_union(Doms,Set),
    Var in_set Set.
```

- Usage:

```
| ?- domain([X,Y],0,6), cdisj([X+5#=<Y,Y+5#=<X], X).
    => X in(0..1)\/(5..6), Y in 0..6 ?
```

- CD is not a constraint, but a one-off pruning technique.
- As it interacts with other constraints, may improve on domain consistency:

```
| ?- domain([X,Y], 0, 20), X+Y #= 20, cdisj([X#=<5,Y#=<5],X).
    => X in(0..5)\/(15..20), ...
```

## Shaving – a special case of constructive disjunction

- Basic idea: “What if”  $X = v$ ? (... and hope for failure.) If this fails without labeling  $\implies X \neq v$ , otherwise do nothing.
- Shaving an integer  $v$  off the domain of  $x$  is like a constr. disjunction  $(X = v) \vee (X \neq v)$  w.r.t.  $X$  (but only the  $X = v$  case is checked)

```
shave_value(V, X) :- \+ X = V, !, X in \{V}.
shave_value(_, _).
```

- Shaving all values in  $X$ 's domain  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is the same as performing a constructive disjunction for  $(X = v_1) \vee \dots \vee (X = v_n)$  w.r.t.  $X$

```
shave_all0(X) :-      fd_set(X, FD), fdset_to_list(FD, L),
                    shvals(L, X).
```

```
shvals([], _).
```

```
shvals([V|Vs], X) :- shave_value(V, X), shvals(Vs, X).
```

- A variant using `findall`:

```
shave_all(X) :-      fd_set(X, FD), fdset_to_list(FD, L),
                    findall(X, member(X,L), Vs),
                    list_to_fdset(Vs, FD1), X in_set FD1.
```

## An example for shaving, from a kakuro puzzle

- Kakuro puzzle: like a crossword, but with distinct digits 1–9 instead of letters; sums of digits are given as clues.

```
% L is a list of N distinct digits 1..9 with sum Sum.
```

```
kakuro(N, L, Sum) :-
```

```
    length(L, N), domain(L, 1, 9), all_distinct(L), sum(L, #=, Sum).
```

- Example: a 4 letter “word” [A,B,C,D], the sum is 23, domains:

```
sample_domains(L) :- L = [A,_,C,D], A in {5,9}, C in {6,8,9}, D=4.
```

```
| ?- L=[A,B,C,D], kakuro(4, L, 23), sample_domains(L).
```

```
    => A in {5}\{9}, B in (1..3)\(5..8), C in {6}\(8..9) ?
```

- Only B gets pruned:
  - 4 pruned because of `all_distinct`
  - 9 pruned because of `sum`

## An example for shaving, from a kakuro puzzle

- Shaving 9 off  $c$  shows the value 9 for  $c$  is infeasible:

```
| ?- L=[A,B,C,D], kakuro(4, L, 23), sample_domains(L),
    shave_value(9,C). => ..., C in {6}\{8} ?
```

- Shaving off the whole domain of  $B$  leaves just three values:

```
| ?- L=[A,B,C,D], kakuro(4, L, 23), sample_domains(L), shave_all(B).
    => ..., B in {2}\{6}\{8}, ... ?
```

- These two shaving operations happen to achieve domain consistency:

```
| ?- kakuro(4, L, 23), sample_domains(L), labeling([], L).
    => L = [5,6,8,4] ? ; L = [5,8,6,4] ? ; L = [9,2,8,4] ? ; no
```

## When to perform shaving?

- Shaving may be applied repeatedly, until a fixpoint (may not pay off)
- Shaving is normally done during labeling. To reduce its costs, one may:
  - limit it to variables with small enough domain (e.g. of size 2)
  - perform it only after every  $n^{\text{th}}$  labeling step (requires global variables)
- Example:

```
% Label the variables in Vars; after every Nth value assignment,  
% shave the domain of variable X
```

```
labeling_with_shaving(X,N,Vars) :-  
    bb_put(i,0),  
    labeling([value(shave_during_labeling(X,N))],Vars).
```

```
% Auxiliary predicate, called by labeling in every iteration.
```

```
% X and N are given in the call to labeling, V is the next variable
```

```
shave_during_labeling(X,N,V,_Rest,_BB0,_BB) :-  
    labeling([], [V]),  
    bb_get(i,I),  
    ( I<N -> I1 is I+1, bb_put(i,I1)  
    ;   shave_all(X), bb_put(i,0)  
    ).
```