

Semantic and Declarative Technologies

Final exam sample – sit-in part

Time: 80 minutes.

This is an open book test, you can use the printed version of the slides and/or the textbook. You are not allowed to use electronic equipment (notebooks, phones, etc.)

1. Consider the interpretation $\mathcal{I} = \langle \Delta, I \rangle$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \{\text{Nick, Mary, Ann, Steve, John}\} \\ \text{Female}^I &= \{\text{Mary, Ann}\} \\ \text{hasChild}^I &= \{\langle \text{Nick, Ann} \rangle, \langle \text{Mary, Ann} \rangle, \\ &\quad \langle \text{Ann, John} \rangle, \langle \text{Steve, John} \rangle\} \\ \text{Optimist}^I &= \{\text{Nick, Steve, Ann}\} \end{aligned}$$

Consider also the TBox \mathcal{T} consisting of the following three axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Father} &\equiv \neg \text{Female} \sqcap \exists \text{hasChild}.\top & (1) \\ \text{FatherOfGirls} &\equiv \text{Father} \sqcap \forall \text{hasChild}.\text{Female} & (2) \\ \text{FatherOfGirls} &\sqsubseteq \text{Optimist} \sqcup \exists \text{hasChild}.\text{Optimist}. & (3) \end{aligned}$$

Statements (1) and (2) are definitional axioms.

- Extend the interpretation \mathcal{I} to include the meaning of the concepts **Father** and **FatherOfGirls** so that axioms (1) and (2) hold, i.e. write down the subsets of Δ denoted by Father^I and FatherOfGirls^I .
 - Evaluate the meaning of the concept expression on the right hand side of (3), i.e. provide the subset of Δ that corresponds to this concept expression in \mathcal{I} .
 - Decide if (3) holds in \mathcal{I} .
2. Build a *SHIQ* TBox, representing the statements below. You can only use the concept names shown in grotesque font, and the role names **hasChild** and **hasFriend**.

A **Person** is **Lucky** if they have a **Happy** grandparent and have at least two **Clever** children. We know that lucky people (**Persons**) either have no friends or all their parents are not happy. We also know that no one can be both clever and lucky. Friendship is symmetric, i.e. if x has a friend y , then y is bound to have x as a friend. Parents are always befriended with their children.

3. Consider the following subsumption check task, with respect to an empty TBox:

$$\exists \text{hC} . (\text{B} \sqcap (\geq 1 \text{hF})) \sqcap \exists \text{hC} . (\text{B} \sqcap \forall \text{hF} . \perp) \sqcap (\leq 2 \text{hC}) \sqsubseteq \forall \text{hC} . \text{B}.$$

- Rewrite the above axiom to a sentence in English (**hC** stands for “has child”, **hF** stands for “has friend” and **B** stands for “blonde”).
Use the following format: “If someone ... then ...”.
- Is the above statement true? (A “yes” or “no” answer is enough.)
- Transform the above subsumption check to a concept satisfiability check for a concept, call it C_0 .
- Produce the negation normal form of C_0 , call it C_1 .

The following tasks are optional

- Regarding task 1: Change the meaning of **Optimist** in interpretation \mathcal{I} so that your answer to subquestion (3) changes to its opposite, i.e., if the answer was “yes”, it changes to “no” and if the answer was “no”, it changes to “yes”.
- Regarding task 1: Show that the axiom (4) below, is a consequence of \mathcal{T} , as defined by (1)–(3).

$$\text{Father} \sqsubseteq \text{Optimist} \sqcup \exists \text{hasChild} . (\text{Optimist} \sqcup \neg \text{Female}). \quad (4)$$

Hint: show that a counterexample for (4) is a counterexample for (3) as well.

- Regarding task 3: Justify your answer to question (b).