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#### Abstract

Csikvári, Gyarmati and Sárközy asked whether there exist Ramsey type theorems for the equations $a+b=c d$ and $a b+1=c d$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ for large enough $m$. In this paper it is proved that for any $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ the more general equation $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=c d$ has a nontrivial monochromatic solution. Furthermore, an example is presented which shows that the corresponding statement does not hold for the equation $a b+1=c d$. We reformulate this problem with an additional natural condition, and give a partial positive answer.


## 1. Introduction

Sárközy [10], [11] proved that if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ are "large enough" subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=c d \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b+1=c d \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved with $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}$. Gyarmati and Sárközy [5] generalized these results on the solvability of (1) and (2) to finite fields. Moreover, there are several papers written on the solvability of equations similar to (1) and (2) over a finite field, especially over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. (See for example, [3], [4].) It is natural to consider the solvability of these equations in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, as well ([8]). However, in [1] and [5] the authors note that for composite $m$ no density-type theorem can be proved for equations (1) and (2) in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, which shows that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ behave differently. Furthermore, it is

[^0]asked whether there exist Ramsey type results: Is it true that for every $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ equation (1) (or (2)) has a monochromatic solution, if $r$, the number of colours, is fixed and $m>N(r)$ ?

Problem 1. Are there Ramsey type results on the solvability of (1), resp. (2) in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ ?

Hindman answered the analogue of this question over $\mathbb{N}$ positively ([6]). He showed that for every $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{N}$ the equation $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=b_{1} \ldots b_{n}$ has a solution where not only the numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$, but also the sums $\sum_{i \in I} a_{i}$ (where $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ ) and products $\prod_{j \in J} b_{j}$ (where $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ ) are all distinct (except $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}$ ), and all of these sums and products have the same colour.

In this paper we consider Problem 1 in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. First note that in the case of equation (1) trivial monochromatic solutions like $0+0=0 \cdot 0$ or $2+2=2 \cdot 2$ exist, naturally these have to be excluded. This kind of solution, where $a=b=c=d$ is called trivial. In Section 2 we prove that a nontrivial monochromatic solution of (1) always exists. On the other hand in Section 3 a counterexample is presented in the case of equation (2), namely we show a colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ for infinitely many $m$ such that (2) does not have a monochromatic solution. Therefore, instead of $m>N(r)$ the condition $p(m)>N(r)$ (where $p(m)$ denotes the smallest prime divisor of $m$ ) has to be assumed, otherwise no Ramsey type result exists. Finally, we show that the answer is affirmative to this modified question in the special case when $m$ is a squarefree number satisfying $r \sum_{p \mid m} \frac{1}{p^{1 / 4}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$. To avoid confusion, throughout the paper the notion $(a)_{m}$ is going to be used for the modulo $m$ residue class of $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ if more than one moduli are used.

## 2. The equation $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=c d$

In this section the equation $a+b=c d$, and more generally, the equation $a_{1}+\cdots+$ $a_{n}=c d$ will be studied. The case of prime moduli is well-known by the following theorem of Sárközy:

Theorem A (Sárközy, [10]). If $p$ is a prime, $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p},|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C} \| \mathcal{D}|>p^{3}$, then equation (1) has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ satisfying $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}$.

In Theorem A the prime $p$ cannot be replaced by an arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, there is no density theorem for equation (1) in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ for arbitrary $m$, that is, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for infinitely many $m$ there exists a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ having at least cm elements such that (1) does not have a solution in $\mathcal{A}$.

Example 2.1. Let $4 \mid m$ and $\mathcal{A}=\{3,7,11, \ldots, m-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. The size of $\mathcal{A}$ is $\frac{m}{4}$. If $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$, then $a+b \equiv 2(\bmod 4), c d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, hence $(1)$ does not have $a$ solution in $\mathcal{A}$.

Now our aim is to prove that while there is no density theorem, a Ramsey type result exists for the equation $a+b=c d$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Note that in general there are many trivial solutions. First we have to determine all the trivial solutions, and to do this we have to solve the congruence $a^{2} \equiv 2 a(\bmod m)$. Let $m=\prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ be the canonical form of the number $m$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, it is enough to determine the trivial solutions in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}}$. Let us denote the number of solutions of the congruence $a^{2} \equiv 2 a\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)$ by $s\left(p^{\alpha}\right)$. The following cases have to be considered:

- $p>2$ : the congruence $a^{2} \equiv 2 a\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)$ has 2 solutions, namely $a \equiv 0$ and $a \equiv 2$, hence $s\left(p^{\alpha}\right)=2$.
- $p^{\alpha}=2: a \equiv 0$ is the only solution: $s(2)=1$.
- $p^{\alpha}=4$ : the 2 solutions are $a \equiv 0$ and $a \equiv 2$, so $s(4)=2$.
- $p=2, \alpha \geq 3$ : there are four solutions: $a \equiv 0,2,2^{\alpha-1}, 2^{\alpha-1}+2$, hence $s\left(2^{\alpha}\right)=$ 4.

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the congruence $a+b \equiv c d(\bmod m)$ has $\prod_{i=1}^{r} s\left(p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}\right)$ trivial solutions.

Naturally, our goal is to prove that there exists a nontrivial solution of (1), as well. To see this we will show that even the more general equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=c d \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

always has a monochromatic solution such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ are pairwise distinct. These solutions, where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ are pairwise distinct, will be called primitive. The proof of this result is based on the following version of Rado's theorem ([7], Theorem 9.4):

Rado's Theorem. Let $v \geq 2$. Let $c_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}, 1 \leq i \leq v$ be constants such that there exists a nonempty set $D \subseteq\{i: 1 \leq i \leq v\}$ with $\sum_{i \in D} c_{i}=0$. If there exist distinct (not necessarily positive) integers $y_{i}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{v} c_{i} y_{i}=0$, then for every natural number $r$ there exists some $t$ such that for every $r$-colouring of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$ the equation

$$
c_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+c_{v} x_{v}=0
$$

has a monochromatic solution $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{v}$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$, where the $b_{i}-s$ are distinct.

For more details on Rado's theorems, see [2], [7] and [9]. The following observation is also needed:

Lemma 1. Let $T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N=T^{T}$. If $m>N$, then $m$ has a prime power divisor greater than $T$.

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, suppose the contrary. Then each prime divisor of $m$ is at most $T$, therefore $m$ is the product of at most $T$ prime powers. Since each prime power divisor is at most $T$, we have that $m \leq T^{T}$, which contradicts our assumption.

Theorem 2. For every $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $N=N(n, r)$ such that for every $N<m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ equation (3) has a primitive monochromatic solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.

Proof. First assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $\alpha_{i}=(1-n)+2(i-1)($ for $i=1, \ldots, n), \gamma=$ $n, \delta=-n$. Note that the numbers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, \gamma, \delta$ are distinct integers and $\alpha_{1}+$ $\cdots+\alpha_{n}-n \gamma-n \delta=0$. Therefore, the equation $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-n \gamma-n \delta=0$ has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}$ where the $\alpha_{i}, \gamma, \delta$ are distinct. Moreover, the sum of the coefficients of $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, \gamma$ is $1+\cdots+1-n=0$, thus the equation $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-n \gamma-n \delta=0$ satisfies the conditions of Rado's theorem, so the equation has a primitive monochromatic solution in $\{1,2, \ldots, K\}$ for every $r$-colouring of $\{1,2, \ldots, K\}$, if $K$ is large enough, say $K \geq K_{0}$. Let $C=\max \left(K_{0}, r^{4}(n+2)^{4}\right)$.

Take an arbitrary $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. By applying Lemma 1 with $T=C^{3}$ we obtain that if $m>N=T^{T}$, then $m$ has a prime power divisor greater than $T$.

Now we prove that $N=T^{T}$ satisfies the condition of the theorem. In the proof we distinguish two cases: the prime power divisor guaranteed by Lemma 1 is itself a prime or it is not.

As the first case suppose that $p>r^{4}(n+2)^{4}$ is a prime divisor of $m$ such that $p^{2} \nmid m$. Therefore, $p$ and $m / p$ are coprime, since $p \nmid m / p$. For $1 \leq i \leq p$ define the $\bmod m$ residue class $\left(x_{i}\right)_{m}$ by the congruences $x_{i} \equiv i(\bmod p)$ and $x_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod m / p)$. Now, we define an $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ depending on the given $r$ colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ in the following way: For $1 \leq i \leq p$ let the colour of $(i)_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be the colour of $\left(x_{i}\right)_{m}$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is coloured by $r$ colours, so we can choose (at least) $\frac{p}{r}$ elements having the same colour. Let us denote the set of these (at least) $\frac{p}{r}$ elements by $\mathcal{S}$. Now we partition $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ into $n+2$ disjoint sets $\mathcal{S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_{n+2} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that the size of any two of them differ by at most 1 . Since $p \geq r^{4}(n+2)^{4} \geq 2 r(n+2)$, each of the sets $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ has size at least $\left\lfloor\frac{p}{r(n+2)}\right\rfloor \geq \frac{p}{2 r(n+2)}$. Now let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be defined in the following way: $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{S}_{2}+\cdots+\mathcal{S}_{n}=\left\{s_{2}+\cdots+s_{n} \mid s_{2} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{S}_{2}, \ldots, s_{n} \in \mathcal{S}_{n}\right\}, \mathcal{C}=\mathcal{S}_{n+1}, \mathcal{D}=\mathcal{S}_{n+2}$. By $p>r^{4}(n+2)^{4}$ we obtain that $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{D}| \geq\left(\frac{p}{r(n+2)}\right)^{4}>p^{3}$, so Theorem A can be applied, which yields that there exist $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $a+b=c d$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. As $b \in \mathcal{B}$,
we have $b=a_{2}+\cdots+a_{n}$ for some $a_{i} \in \mathcal{S}_{i}$. Let $a_{1}=a$. Therefore, there exist $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, c, d \in\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$ such that the corresponding $\bmod p$ residue classes have the same colour, and the congruence

$$
a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} \equiv c d(\bmod p)
$$

holds. The elements $\left(x_{a_{1}}\right)_{m}, \ldots,\left(x_{a_{n}}\right)_{m},\left(x_{c}\right)_{m},\left(x_{d}\right)_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ have the same colour with $\left(a_{1}\right)_{p}, \ldots,\left(a_{n}\right)_{p},(c)_{p},(d)_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, moreover they are distinct, since $\left(a_{1}\right)_{p}, \ldots$, $\left(a_{n}\right)_{p},(c)_{p},(d)_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are distinct as well. Furthermore, $\left(x_{a_{1}}\right)_{m}, \ldots,\left(x_{a_{n}}\right)_{m},\left(x_{c}\right)_{m}$, $\left(x_{d}\right)_{m}$ is a solution of (3), since

- $\left(x_{a_{1}}\right)_{m / p} \ldots\left(x_{a_{n}}\right)_{m / p} \equiv\left(x_{c}\right)_{m / p}\left(x_{d}\right)_{m / p}(\bmod m / p)$, as $x_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod m / p)$ for every $i$, and
- $\left(x_{a_{1}}\right)_{p} \ldots\left(x_{a_{n}}\right)_{p} \equiv\left(x_{c}\right)_{p}\left(x_{d}\right)_{p}(\bmod p)$, as $x_{i} \equiv i(\bmod p)$ for every $i$ and $a_{1} \ldots a_{n} \equiv c d(\bmod p)$.

Hence, $\left(x_{a_{1}}\right)_{m}, \ldots,\left(x_{a_{n}}\right)_{m},\left(x_{c}\right)_{m},\left(x_{d}\right)_{m}$ form a primitive monochromatic solution of $(3)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.

As the 2 nd case assume that for a prime power (but not prime) $p^{t} \geq C^{3}$ we have $p^{t} \mid m$, where $t \geq 2$ and $t$ is the largest integer such that $p^{t} \mid m$. Let $t_{0}=\lfloor t / 2\rfloor$. As $t_{0} \geq t / 3$, we have $p^{t_{0}} \geq C$. We show that a monochromatic solution of the equation $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} \equiv c d(\bmod m)$ can be found among the residue classes of the form $\left(y \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m}$. Note that the congruence

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\alpha_{1} \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m}+\cdots+\left(\alpha_{n} \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m} \equiv \\
&\left(\gamma \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m}\left(\delta \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m}(\bmod m) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \equiv n \gamma+n \delta\left(\bmod p^{t_{0}}\right)
$$

As the next step we define an $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{N}$ depending on the given $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Let the colour of $y \in \mathbb{N}$ be the colour of $\left(y \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$.

Since $C \geq K_{0}$, Rado's theorem implies that there exist distinct integers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots$, $\alpha_{n}, \gamma, \delta \in\{1,2, \ldots, C\}$ having the same colour and satisfying $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}-n \gamma-n \delta=$ 0 . The residue classes $a_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i} \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m}, c=\left(\gamma \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m}, d=\left(\delta \cdot \frac{m}{p^{t_{0}}}+n\right)_{m}$ give a solution of (3), moreover they are distinct, since $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, \gamma, \delta \in\{1,2, \ldots, C\}$ are distinct and $p^{t_{0}} \geq C$.

Finally, let us examine the case when $n=1$. By Rado's theorem for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $M=M(r)$ such that for every $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{N}$ the equation $\alpha=\gamma+\delta$ has a primitive monochromatic solution in $\{1, \ldots, M\}$. Suppose that $2^{M}<m$ and take an arbitrary $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Define a colouring of $\mathbb{N}$ in the
following way: Let the colour of $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be the colour of $\left(2^{a}\right)_{m}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Rado's theorem yields that there exist three distinct positive integers $\alpha, \gamma, \delta \in\{1, \ldots, M\}$ having the same colour such that $\alpha=\gamma+\delta$. Then $a=\left(2^{\alpha}\right)_{m}, c=\left(2^{\gamma}\right)_{m}, d=\left(2^{\delta}\right)_{m}$ is a primitive monochromatic solution of $a=c d$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.

Hence, we showed that if $m>N=T^{T}$, then (3) has a nontrivial monochromatic solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.

## 3. The equation $a b+1=c d$

In this section equation (2) will be studied. First, we will show that if $m$ has a small prime divisor, then there is no Ramsey type theorem on the solvability of $a b+1=c d$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ in the classical sense: If we fix the number of colours $r$ and $m$ is large enough, then a monochromatic solution need not exist.

Example 3.1. Let $p \mid m$ and the colour of $(x)_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ be the $\bmod p$ residue class containing $x$. If $(a)_{m},(b)_{m},(c)_{m},(d)_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ have the same colour, then ab $\equiv$ $c d(\bmod p)$, so $a b+1 \neq c d$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.

In this example we coloured $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ by $p$ colours, where $p \mid m$, and there is no monochromatic solution of the equation $a b+1=c d$, which shows that the least prime divisor of $m$, denoted by $p(m)$, has to be greater than the number of colours. To exclude counterexamples of this kind we reformulate the problem in the following way:

Problem 2. Are there Ramsey type results on the solvability of ab+1=cd in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ if $r$, the number of colours is fixed and $p(m)$ is large enough in terms of $r$ ?

We give a partial positive answer to this question, namely we show that the answer is affirmative, if $m$ is squarefree and

$$
r \sum_{p \mid m} \frac{1}{p^{1 / 4}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}
$$

To prove this result the following theorem of Sárközy is needed:
Theorem B (Sárközy, [11]). If $p$ is a prime, $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p},|\mathcal{A}\|\mathcal{B}\| \mathcal{C} \| \mathcal{D}|>$ $100 p^{3}$, then the equation $a b+1=c d$ has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ satisfying $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in$ $\mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}$.

Now we are ready to solve Problem 2 under a certain condition.
Theorem 3. Let $m=p_{1} \ldots p_{s}$ be the product of $s$ different primes. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ and $\alpha=\frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{m}$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_{j}^{1 / 4}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{10}}$, then there exist $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the equation $a b+1=c d$.

Proof. The main idea of the proof is to solve the congruence system $a b+1 \equiv$ $c d\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)($ for $1 \leq i \leq s)$ step by step. Our aim is to obtain a solution finally where $(a)_{m},(b)_{m},(c)_{m},(d)_{m}$ lie in $\mathcal{A}$. As the first step we show that the following statement holds: Let $m=m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{s}$, where $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}$ are pairwise coprime. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq$ $\mathbb{Z}_{m}=\mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s}}, \alpha=\frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{m}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s} \geq 0$ satisfying $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s} \leq \alpha$. Then there exist sets $\mathcal{A}_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}}, \mathcal{A}_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m_{j}}$ (for every $a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$, and so on, $\left.a_{j-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-2}\right)\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| \geq \alpha_{1} m_{1}$
- For every $2 \leq j \leq r$, for every $a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$, for every $a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$, for every $a_{3} \in \mathcal{A}_{3}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and so on, for every $a_{j-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-2}\right)$ the set $\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right)$ has at least $\alpha_{j} m_{j}$ elements.
- If $a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, a_{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s-1}\right)$, then $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{A}$.

So $\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m_{j}}$ contains at least $\alpha_{j} m_{j}$ possible continuations of the vector $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_{j-1}}$. More precisely, we could add at least $\alpha_{j} m_{j}$ elements $a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{j}}$ as the $j$-th coordinate to the vector $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right)$ such that after the $s$-th step we have vectors belonging to $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s}}$.

We prove this assertion by induction on $s$. For $s=1$ the statement holds trivially. Let $s=2$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)=\left\{a_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{2}}:\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{A}\right\}$. Then let $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\left\{a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}}\right.$ : $\left.\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)\right| \geq \alpha_{2} m_{2}\right\}$. As $\mathcal{A}=\bigcup_{a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}}\left\{a_{1}\right\} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right) \cup \bigcup_{a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{1}}\left\{a_{1}\right\} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\alpha m_{1} m_{2}=|\mathcal{A}| \leq\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| m_{2}+\left(m_{1}-\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|\right) \alpha_{2} m_{2} \leq\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| m_{2}+\alpha_{2} m_{1} m_{2}
$$

Thus the size of $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is at least $\alpha_{1} m_{1}$, as needed. Applying this repeatedly we get that the statement is true for every $s>2$ as well.

This implies that in $\mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s}}$ at least $\alpha_{1} m_{1}$ first coordinates can be chosen, the set $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ contains them. For every $a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \alpha_{2} m_{2}$ second coordinates can be chosen, the set $\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ contains them. And so on. Finally, $\alpha_{s} m_{s} s$-th coordinates can be chosen in such a way that all of the elements $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$ obtained in $\mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s}}$ lie in $\mathcal{A}$.

As the second step let $m=p_{1} \ldots p_{s}$. As $\mathbb{Z}_{m}=\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{s}}$ by the Chinese Remainder theorem, the modulo $m$ residue class of $a$ can be identified by an ordered $s$-tuple where the $j$ th coordinate is the $\bmod p_{j}$ residue of the residue class of $a$ : $a \leftrightarrow\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$, where $(a)_{p_{j}}=\left(a_{j}\right)_{p_{j}}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq s$. Solving the equation $a b+1=c d$ in $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ is equivalent to solve the system of equations $a_{i} b_{i}+1=c_{i} d_{i}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}}($ where $1 \leq i \leq s)$ in such a way that $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right),\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}\right)$, $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{A}$. We have just proved that for every $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s} \geq 0$ satisfying $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s} \leq \alpha$ subsets $\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p_{j}}$ can be chosen which satisfy the following conditions:

- $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| \geq \alpha_{1} p_{1}$.
- For every $2 \leq j \leq s$, for every $a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$, for every $a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$, for every $a_{3} \in \mathcal{A}_{3}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and so on, for every $a_{j-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-2}\right)$ the set $\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right)$ has at least $\alpha_{j} p_{j}$ elements,
- If $a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, a_{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s-1}\right)$, then $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{A}$.

As a next step we are going to apply Theorem B repeatedly. In order to do this the inequalities $\left(\alpha_{j} p_{j}\right)^{4} \geq 100 p_{j}^{3}(1 \leq j \leq s)$ have to hold. Therefore, let $\alpha_{j}=\frac{\sqrt{10}}{p_{j}^{1 / 4}}$ (for every $1 \leq j \leq s$ ). Now note that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{j}=\sqrt{10} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_{j}^{1 / 4}} \leq \alpha$, so the previous statement can be applied, and the sets $\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}\right)$ can be chosen. As $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| \geq \alpha_{1} p_{1}$, Theorem B yields that the equation $a_{1} b_{1}+1=c_{1} d_{1}$ (in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}}$ ) can be solved in $\mathcal{A}_{1}$. Fix this solution. Since each of the sets $\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right), \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(b_{1}\right), \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(c_{1}\right), \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(d_{1}\right)$ has cardinality at least $\alpha_{2} p_{2}$, the equation $a_{2} b_{2}+1=c_{2} d_{2}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{p_{2}}\right)$ has a solution such that $a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(a_{1}\right), b_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(b_{1}\right), c_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(c_{1}\right), d_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(d_{1}\right)$. In the general step $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{j}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{j}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{j}$ are already fixed. Since each of the sets $\mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j}\right), \mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{j}\right), \mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{j}\right), \mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{j}\right)$ has cardinality at least $\alpha_{j+1} p_{j+1}$, the equation $a_{j+1} b_{j+1}+1=c_{j+1} d_{j+1}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{p_{j+1}}\right)$ has a solution such that $a_{j+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j}\right), b_{j+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{j}\right), c_{j+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{j}\right)$, $d_{j+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{j+1}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{j}\right)$. At the end, since each of the sets $\mathcal{A}_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s-1}\right)$, $\mathcal{A}_{s}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right), \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s-1}\right), \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s-1}\right)$ has cardinality at least $\alpha_{s} p_{s}$, the equation $a_{s} b_{s}+1=c_{s} d_{s}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{p_{s}}\right)$ has a solution such that $a_{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s-1}\right)$, $b_{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s-1}\right), c_{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s-1}\right), d_{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{s}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s-1}\right)$.

Therefore, for $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right), c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}\right), d=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{A}$ we have $a b+1=c d\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right)$.

Corollary 4. Let $m=p_{1} \ldots p_{s}$ be the product of $s$ different primes. If $r \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{p_{j}^{1 / 4}} \leq$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$, then for every $r$-colouring of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ the equation $a b+1=c d$ has a monochromatic solution.
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