Tales about infinity

A. Sali^{1,2}

¹Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Hungarian Academy of Sciences

²Department of Mathematics University of South Carolina

Tuesday, February 20th, 2007.









• Fundamental concept cannot be defined.





- Fundamental concept cannot be defined.
- For a given set *A* and any given thing *b* it can be determined unambiguously whether *b* belongs to *A*.





- Fundamental concept cannot be defined.
- For a given set *A* and any given thing *b* it can be determined unambiguously whether *b* belongs to *A*.

Some ways of presenting sets:





- Fundamental concept cannot be defined.
- For a given set *A* and any given thing *b* it can be determined unambiguously whether *b* belongs to *A*.

Some ways of presenting sets:

• Listing all elements:

 $A = \{$ SCSU, Golden Gate Bridge, freedom $\}$





- Fundamental concept cannot be defined.
- For a given set *A* and any given thing *b* it can be determined unambiguously whether *b* belongs to *A*.

Some ways of presenting sets:

• Listing all elements:

 $A = \{$ SCSU, Golden Gate Bridge, freedom $\}$

• Defining property: $B = \{ positive numbers \}$





• *A* = {The most embarrassing moment of my life}



• $A = \{\text{The most embarrassing moment of my life}\}$ No



A = {The most embarrassing moment of my life} No
B = {The top 10 colledge football teams}



A = {The most embarrassing moment of my life} No
B = {The top 10 colledge football teams} No



- A = {The most embarrassing moment of my life} No
- $B = \{\text{The top 10 colledge football teams}\}$ No
- *C* = {the greatest basketball player of all time}



- A = {The most embarrassing moment of my life} No
- $B = \{\text{The top 10 colledge football teams}\}$ No
- C = {the greatest basketball player of all time} = {Micheal Jordan} ^(a)



- A = {The most embarrassing moment of my life} No
- $B = \{\text{The top 10 colledge football teams}\}$ No
- C = {the greatest basketball player of all time} = {Micheal Jordan} ⁽²⁾
- $T = \{ All sets \}$



- A = {The most embarrassing moment of my life} No
- $B = \{\text{The top 10 colledge football teams}\}$ No
- C = {the greatest basketball player of all time} = {Micheal Jordan} ⁽²⁾
- *T* = {All sets} ???





If X is a set, then either $X \in X$ or $X \notin X$. Let

$$B = \{X \colon X \not\in X\}$$

Is it true that $B \in B$?



If X is a set, then either $X \in X$ or $X \notin X$. Let

$$B = \{X \colon X \not\in X\}$$

Is it true that $B \in B$? • If $B \in B$, then by the definition of $B, B \notin B$.



If X is a set, then either $X \in X$ or $X \notin X$. Let

$$B = \{X \colon X \not\in X\}$$

Is it true that $B \in B$?

- If $B \in B$, then by the definition of $B, B \notin B$.
- If $B \notin B$, then by the definition of $B, B \in B$, a contradiction.



If X is a set, then either $X \in X$ or $X \notin X$. Let

$$B = \{X \colon X \not\in X\}$$

Is it true that $B \in B$?

• If $B \in B$, then by the definition of $B, B \notin B$.

• If $B \notin B$, then by the definition of $B, B \in B$, a contradiction.

So: is there a fundamental error in math???



If X is a set, then either $X \in X$ or $X \notin X$. Let

$$B = \{X \colon X \not\in X\}$$

Is it true that $B \in B$?

• If $B \in B$, then by the definition of $B, B \notin B$.

• If $B \notin B$, then by the definition of $B, B \in B$, a contradiction.

So: is there a fundamental error in math??? Not: we have just proved that the collection of all sets is *not* a set.





The village barber is the man who shaves those people who do not shave themselves. Does the village barber shave himself?



The village barber is the man who shaves those people who do not shave themselves. Does the village barber shave himself?

 If he does not shave himself, then he must be shaved by the village barber...



The village barber is the man who shaves those people who do not shave themselves. Does the village barber shave himself?

- If he does not shave himself, then he must be shaved by the village barber...
- If he shaves himself, then he must not shave the village barber, since that shaves by himself...



Cardinalities

Let us call a set ordinary if it is not an element of itself. An example is the set of natural numbers.



Let us call a set ordinary if it is not an element of itself. An example is the set of natural numbers. An example of not orinary set is $B = \{Abstract concepts\},$ since *B* itself is an abstract concept.



Let us call a set ordinary if it is not an element of itself. An example is the set of natural numbers. An example of not orinary set is $B = \{Abstract concepts\},\$ since *B* itself is an abstract concept. Play it safe: consider only ordinary sets, let *U* be the collection of those. Is *U* an ordinary set?



Let us call a set ordinary if it is not an element of itself. An example is the set of natural numbers. An example of not orinary set is $B = \{Abstract concepts\},\$ since *B* itself is an abstract concept. Play it safe: consider only ordinary sets, let *U* be the collection of those. Is *U* an ordinary set?

• If U is ordinary, then $U \in U$, hence it is not ordinary.



Let us call a set ordinary if it is not an element of itself. An example is the set of natural numbers. An example of not orinary set is $B = \{Abstract concepts\}, since B$ itself is an abstract concept. Play it safe: consider only ordinary sets, let U be the collection of those. Is U an ordinary set?

- If U is ordinary, then $U \in U$, hence it is not ordinary.
- If *U* is not ordinary, which means $U \in U$, then by the definition of *U* must be ordinary



Let us call a set ordinary if it is not an element of itself. An example is the set of natural numbers. An example of not orinary set is $B = \{Abstract concepts\},\$ since *B* itself is an abstract concept. Play it safe: consider only ordinary sets, let *U* be the collection of those. Is *U* an ordinary set?

- If U is ordinary, then $U \in U$, hence it is not ordinary.
- If *U* is not ordinary, which means $U \in U$, then by the definition of *U* must be ordinary

We have proved

Theorem

The collection of all sets, and the collection of all ordinary sets, respectively do not form a set.



Strange happenings in Grand Hotel Carolina

In the (distant) future, around year 20007, conferences have become so popular and abundant that hotel capacities were insufficient, even hotels with 1,000,000 rooms were hopelessly overbooked. So somewhere halfway between Orangeburg and Charleston the Grand Hotel Carolina with an infinite number of rooms was built.



Strange happenings in Grand Hotel Carolina

In the (distant) future, around year 20007, conferences have become so popular and abundant that hotel capacities were insufficient, even hotels with 1,000,000 rooms were hopelessly overbooked. So somewhere halfway between Orangeburg and Charleston the Grand Hotel Carolina with an infinite number of rooms was built.











In the morning Java programmers filled up the hotel: an infinite number of them arrived.




In the morning Java programmers filled up the hotel: an infinite number of them arrived.

One programmer's flight was delayed because of snowstorm, he arrived late evening. In which room could they put him?



Day 1

In the morning Java programmers filled up the hotel: an infinite number of them arrived.

One programmer's flight was delayed because of snowstorm, he arrived late evening. In which room could they put him? The System Administrator of the hotel found a solution: each gest should move to the room of number one larger than then the one she/he currently occupies. Thus room number 1 becomes empty and the new guest can be accommodated.



Day 1

In the morning Java programmers filled up the hotel: an infinite number of them arrived.

One programmer's flight was delayed because of snowstorm, he arrived late evening. In which room could they put him? The System Administrator of the hotel found a solution: each gest should move to the room of number one larger than then the one she/he currently occupies.Thus room number 1 becomes empty and the new guest can be accommodated.





1000 more conference participants arrived.





1000 more conference participants arrived. What did the System Administator suggest now?





Total chaos! C++ programmers arrived, and infinite number of them...





Total chaos! C++ programmers arrived, and infinite number of them...

The System Administrator was ready: let the guest of room number 1 move to numer 2, the guest from number 2 move to number 4, ..., the guest from number *n* to room number 2n,...



Day 3

Total chaos! C++ programmers arrived, and infinite number of them...

The System Administrator was ready: let the guest of room number 1 move to numer 2, the guest from number 2 move to number 4, ..., the guest from number *n* to room number 2n,... Thus rooms numbered 1, 3, 5, ..., 2n + 1,... become empty and the C++ programmers can move in.





Total chaos! C++ programmers arrived, and infinite number of them...

The System Administrator was ready: let the guest of room number 1 move to numer 2, the guest from number 2 move to number 4, ..., the guest from number *n* to room number 2n,... Thus rooms numbered 1, 3, 5, ..., 2n + 1,... become empty and the C++ programmers can move in.







Tha Java programmers' conference ended, they left.





Tha Java programmers' conference ended, they left. The Director of Carolina was enraged: the hotel is half empty!!





Tha Java programmers' conference ended, they left. The Director of Carolina was enraged: the hotel is half empty!! However, the System Administrator did not worry...





Tha Java programmers' conference ended, they left. The Director of Carolina was enraged: the hotel is half empty!! However, the System Administrator did not worry... What did she suggest?





Tha Java programmers' conference ended, they left. The Director of Carolina was enraged: the hotel is half empty!! However, the System Administrator did not worry... What did she suggest? The occupant of room number 2n + 1 should move to room

number n.



Newer and newer infinitely large hotels were built until the number of hotels became infinite. These were prosperous for a while, until one fine day...



Newer and newer infinitely large hotels were built until the number of hotels became infinite. These were prosperous for a while, until one fine day...

They all went bankrupt except for Hotel Carolina. Guests of the bankrupt hotels were transferred to Carolina:



Newer and newer infinitely large hotels were built until the number of hotels became infinite. These were prosperous for a while, until one fine day...

They all went bankrupt except for Hotel Carolina. Guests of the bankrupt hotels were transferred to Carolina:

They queued up in infinitely many infinitely long lines in front of the reception of Carolina ...



Newer and newer infinitely large hotels were built until the number of hotels became infinite. These were prosperous for a while, until one fine day...

They all went bankrupt except for Hotel Carolina. Guests of the bankrupt hotels were transferred to Carolina:

They queued up in infinitely many infinitely long lines in front of the reception of Carolina ...

The System Administrator, Susanne Lynoux, was desparately trying to find a solution. Her first idea, that the guests of Carolina move to rooms number 1001, 2001, 3001,..., then the guests from the first hotel can move to rooms number 1002, 2002, 3002,..., guest from the second hotel move to rooms number 1003, 2003, 3003,..., and so on, did not work, they got stuck at the guests of the 1000th bankrupt hotel.



Ms Lynoux's second idea was that guests of the first hotel move to rooms number 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ..., guests from the second hotel move to rooms number 3, 9, 27, 81, ..., in general, guests from he $n - 1^{\text{st}}$ hotel move to rooms $n, n^2, n^3, ...$



Ms Lynoux's second idea was that guests of the first hotel move to rooms number 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, . . ., guests from the second hotel move to rooms number 3, 9, 27, 81, . . ., in general, guests from he $n - 1^{st}$ hotel move to rooms $n, n^2, n^3, ...$ This looked good, until they really started the moving:



Ms Lynoux's second idea was that guests of the first hotel move to rooms number 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ..., guests from the second hotel move to rooms number 3, 9, 27, 81, ..., in general, guests from he $n - 1^{st}$ hotel move to rooms $n, n^2, n^3, ...$ This looked good, until they really started the moving: The rooms assigned to the guests of the third hotel were already occupied, since powers of 4 are also powers of 2.



Ms Lynoux's second idea was that guests of the first hotel move to rooms number 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ..., guests from the second hotel move to rooms number 3, 9, 27, 81, ..., in general, guests from he $n - 1^{st}$ hotel move to rooms $n, n^2, n^3, ...$ This looked good, until they really started the moving: The rooms assigned to the quests of the third hotel were already occupied, since powers of 4 are also powers of 2. However, Ms Lynoux could fix this scheme. She knew that there are an infinite number of prime numbers, thus if p_k denotes the k^{th} prime number, then the guests of the k^{th} hotel could move to rooms numbered $p_k, p_k^2, p_k^3, \ldots$, since powers of distinct prime numbers are distinct.



Worries of the director

Everyone was accommodated, nevertheless Mike Rosophte, the director was not satisfied, sice many rooms were empty i Hotel Carolina (e.g. numbers $6, 10, 12, 14, 18, \ldots$).



Worries of the director

Everyone was accommodated, nevertheless Mike Rosophte, the director was not satisfied, sice many rooms were empty i Hotel Carolina (e.g. numbers 6, 10, 12, 14, 18, ...). The next idea of Susan Lynoux was to move the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel to room number $2^m 3^n$. This did not cause any conflict, however it did not solve Mike Rosophte's problem either ...





By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel.

(1, 1)(1, 2)(1,3)(1, 4)(1, 5)(2, 1)(2, 2)(2, 3)(2, 4)(2, 5). . . (3, 1)(3, 2)(3, 3)(3, 4)(3, 5)(4, 1)(4, 2)(4, 3)(4, 4)(4, 5). . . (5, 1)(5, 2)(5, 3)(5, 4)(5,5)

By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1, 2)(1,3)(1, 4)(1, 5)(1, 1)(2, 1)(2, 2)(2, 3)(2, 4)(2, 5). . . (3, 2)(3, 3)(3, 5)(3, 1)(3, 4)(4, 2)(4, 3)(4, 4)(4, 5)(4, 1). . . (5, 4)(5, 1)(5, 2)(5, 3)(5, 5)



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1, 2)(1,3)(1, 4)(1, 5)(1,1)(2, 1)(2, 2)(2, 3)(2, 4)(2, 5)(3, 2)(3, 3)(3, 5)(3, 1)(3, 4)(4, 2)(4, 3)(4, 4)(4, 5)(4, 1). . . (5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 1)(5, 2)(5, 5)



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2)(1,3)(1, 4)(1, 5) $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2)$ (2, 3)(2, 4)(2, 5). . . (3, 2)(3, 3)(3, 4)(3, 5)(3, 1)(4, 1)(4, 2)(4, 3)(4, 4)(4, 5). . . (5, 2)(5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 1)(5,5)



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2)(1,3)(1, 4)(1, 5) $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2)$ (2, 3)(2, 4)(2, 5). . . (3, 2)(3, 3)(3, 4)(3, 5)(3, 1)(4, 1)(4, 2)(4, 3)(4, 4)(4, 5). . . (5, 2)(5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 1)(5,5)



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2)(1,3)(1, 4)(1, 5) $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2)$ (2, 3)(2, 4)(2, 5)(3, 2)(3, 3)(3, 4)(3, 5)(3, 1)(4, 1)(4, 2)(4, 3)(4, 4)(4, 5)(5, 2)(5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 1)(5,5)

By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2) (1,3) (1, 4)(1, 5) $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2)$ (2,3)(2, 4)(2,5) ... (3,1) $(3,2) \leftarrow (3,3)$ (3, 4)(3, 5)(4, 1)(4,2) (4, 3)(4, 4)(4, 5). . . (5, 2)(5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 5)(5, 1)

By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2) (1,3)(1, 4)(1,5) ... $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2) \quad (2,3)$ (2, 4)(2,5) ... $(3,1) \leftarrow (3,2) \leftarrow (3,3)$ (3, 4)(3,5) ... (4,1) (4,2) (4, 3)(4, 4)(4,5) ... (5, 1)(5,2) (5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 5)



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2) (1,3)(1, 4)(1,5) ... $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2) \qquad (2,3)$ (2,4) (2,5) ... $(3,1) \leftarrow (3,2) \leftarrow (3,3)$ (3, 4)(3,5) ... (4,1) (4,2) (4, 3)(4, 4)(4,5) ... (5, 1)(5,2) (5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 5)



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2) (1,3)(1, 4)(1,5) ... $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2) \qquad (2,3)$ (2,4) (2,5) ... $(3,1) \leftarrow (3,2) \leftarrow (3,3) \quad (3,4)$ (3,5) ... (4,1) (4,2) (4, 3)(4, 4)(4,5) ... (5, 1)(5,2) (5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 5)



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

(1,1) (1,2) (1,3)(1, 4)(1,5) ... $(2,1) \leftarrow (2,2) \qquad (2,3)$ (2,4) (2,5) ... $(3,1) \leftarrow (3,2) \leftarrow (3,3)$ (3,4) (3,5) ... (4,1) (4,2) (4,3) (4, 4)(4,5) ... (5, 1)(5, 2)(5, 3)(5, 4)(5, 5)


By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.

By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



By next morning Ms Lynoux figured out how can Hotel Carolina be filled up completely without leaving any guest unaccommodated. She wrote down the guests of the hotels in an infinite square table, the n^{th} position of the m^{th} row represented the n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel. Then she gave the move in order in red ink.



The first *n* guests of the first *n* hotels occupy the first n^2 rooms, thus sooner or later everybody get a room assigned:



The first *n* guests of the first *n* hotels occupy the first n^2 rooms, thus sooner or later everybody get a room assigned: The n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel moves into the $(n-1)^2 + m^{\text{th}}$ room if $\geq m$, otherwise into the $m^2 - n + 1^{\text{st}}$ one.



The first *n* guests of the first *n* hotels occupy the first n^2 rooms, thus sooner or later everybody get a room assigned: The n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel moves into the $(n-1)^2 + m^{\text{th}}$ room if $\geq m$, otherwise into the $m^2 - n + 1^{\text{st}}$ one. Thus, for every *m*, *n*a unique room is assigned and we proved that infinitely many guests of infinitely many hotels can be accommodated in one infinite hotel without leaving an empty room.



The first *n* guests of the first *n* hotels occupy the first n^2 rooms, thus sooner or later everybody get a room assigned: The n^{th} guest of the m^{th} hotel moves into the $(n-1)^2 + m^{\text{th}}$ room if $\geq m$, otherwise into the $m^2 - n + 1^{\text{st}}$ one. Thus, for every *m*, *n*a unique room is assigned and we proved that infinitely many guests of infinitely many hotels can be accommodated in one infinite hotel without leaving an empty room.

Susan Lynoux went for a well-deserved vacation. She should not have done so ...



Chaos at the reception

Mike organized a welcome reception for the hotel guests. He wanted to send out the invitation twice the speed, however incidentally he just sent them to to every other room, numbers $2, 4, 6, \ldots$



Chaos at the reception

Mike organized a welcome reception for the hotel guests. He wanted to send out the invitation twice the speed, however incidentally he just sent them to to every other room, numbers $2, 4, 6, \ldots$

Nevertheless, the infinite number chairs provided for the guests were all occupied when the other guests who were invited later arrived. They could be seated only after long manipulations...



Chaos at the reception

Mike organized a welcome reception for the hotel guests. He wanted to send out the invitation twice the speed, however incidentally he just sent them to to every other room, numbers $2, 4, 6, \ldots$

Nevertheless, the infinite number chairs provided for the guests were all occupied when the other guests who were invited later arrived. They could be seated only after long manipulations... Then ice-cream was served, everyone received two portions. The chef swore that he prepared only one portion for each guest.

Mike stood dumbfounded...



The IRS ordered Hotel Carolina to submit a list of all possible utilizations of the hotel. An element of the list is an infinite sequence of 0's and 1's, the n^{th} element is is 0 if the n^{th} room is empty, and it is 1, if the n^{th} room is occupied.



The IRS ordered Hotel Carolina to submit a list of all possible utilizations of the hotel. An element of the list is an infinite sequence of 0's and 1's, the n^{th} element is is 0 if the n^{th} room is empty, and it is 1, if the n^{th} room is occupied. Mike Rosophte obediently prepared a list and was about sending it when Susan Lynoux arrived back and told him that such a list cannot be complete.



The IRS ordered Hotel Carolina to submit a list of all possible utilizations of the hotel. An element of the list is an infinite sequence of 0's and 1's, the n^{th} element is is 0 if the n^{th} room is empty, and it is 1, if the n^{th} room is occupied. Mike Rosophte obediently prepared a list and was about sending it when Susan Lynoux arrived back and told him that such a list cannot be complete.

"Here is a utilization sequence that is not on your list:



The IRS ordered Hotel Carolina to submit a list of all possible utilizations of the hotel. An element of the list is an infinite sequence of 0's and 1's, the n^{th} element is is 0 if the n^{th} room is empty, and it is 1, if the n^{th} room is occupied.

Mike Rosophte obediently prepared a list and was about sending it when Susan Lynoux arrived back and told him that such a list cannot be complete.

"Here is a utilization sequence that is not on your list: If the n^{th} entry of the n^{th} element on the list is 0, then let 1 stand in the n^{th} position in this sequence, otherwise let 0 stand there. Thus the new sequence differs from the n^{th} sequence on the list at the n^{th} position."



The n^{th} utilization sequence is $u^{(n)} = u_{n1}, u_{n2}, u_{n3}, \dots$

U ₁₁	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₁₄	• • •
U ₂₁	U ₂₂	U ₂₃	U ₂₄	• • •
U ₃₁	U ₃₂	<i>U</i> 33	U ₃₄	• • •
U ₄₁	U ₄₂	U ₄₃	U ₄₄	• • •
:	:	:	:	•••



The *n*th utilization sequence is $u^{(n)} = u_{n1}, u_{n2}, u_{n3}, ...$ The sequence $s = s_1, s_2, s_3, ...$, where $s_n = 1 - u_{nn}$ cannot be in the list.

U ₁₁	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₁₄	•••
U ₂₁	U ₂₂	U ₂₃	U ₂₄	• • •
U ₃₁	U ₃₂	U 33	U ₃₄	• • •
U ₄₁	U ₄₂	U ₄₃	U ₄₄	• • •
÷	÷	÷	÷	۰.
<i>S</i> 1	s 2	S 3	<i>S</i> 4	•••



The *n*th utilization sequence is $u^{(n)} = u_{n1}, u_{n2}, u_{n3}, ...$ The sequence $s = s_1, s_2, s_3, ...$, where $s_n = 1 - u_{nn}$ cannot be in the list.

U ₁₁	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₁₄	• • •
U ₂₁	U ₂₂	U ₂₃	U ₂₄	• • •
U ₃₁	U ₃₂	U 33	U ₃₄	• • •
U ₄₁	U ₄₂	U ₄₃	U ₄₄	•••
÷	÷	÷	÷	۰.
S 1	S 2	S 3	S 4	• • •

Cantor's Diagonal Method



Definition

Sets *A* and *B* are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a one-to-one mapping *f* that maps *A* to *B*. In notation $A \sim B$.



Definition

Sets *A* and *B* are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a one-to-one mapping *f* that maps *A* to *B*. In notation $A \sim B$.

Theorem

 \sim is an equivalence relation, that is for all A, B, and C

• $A \sim A$

reflexivity



Definition

Sets *A* and *B* are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a one-to-one mapping *f* that maps *A* to *B*. In notation $A \sim B$.

Theorem

 \sim is an equivalence relation, that is for all A, B, and C

- A ~ A
- $A \sim B$ implies $B \sim A$

reflexivity

symmetry



Definition

Sets A and B are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a one-to-one mapping f that maps A to B. In notation $A \sim B$.

Theorem

 \sim is an equivalence relation, that is for all A, B, and C

- \bigcirc $A \sim A$ reflexivity
- $A \sim B$ implies $B \sim A$
- If $A \sim B$ and $B \sim C$ then $A \sim C$

symmetry

transitivity









• {dog,cat,horse} \sim {puppy,kitty,colt} \sim {0, 1, 2}



- {dog,cat,horse} \sim {puppy,kitty,colt} \sim {0, 1, 2}
- {0, 1, 2, 3, ...} ~ {1, 2, 3, 4, ...} f(i) = i + 1 (First day at Hotel Carolina)



- {dog,cat,horse} \sim {puppy,kitty,colt} \sim {0, 1, 2}
- {0, 1, 2, 3, ...} ~ {1, 2, 3, 4, ...} f(i) = i + 1 (First day at Hotel Carolina)
- {1,2,3,...} ~ {2,4,6,...} f(i) = 2i (Third day at Hotel Carolina)



- {dog,cat,horse} \sim {puppy,kitty,colt} \sim {0, 1, 2}
- {0, 1, 2, 3, ...} ~ {1, 2, 3, 4, ...} f(i) = i + 1 (First day at Hotel Carolina)
- {1,2,3,...} ~ {2,4,6,...} f(i) = 2i (Third day at Hotel Carolina)

• {..., -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ...} ~
$$\{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$$

 $f(2i) = i, f(2i + 1) = -i$



- {dog,cat,horse} \sim {puppy,kitty,colt} \sim {0, 1, 2}
- {0, 1, 2, 3, ...} ~ {1, 2, 3, 4, ...} f(i) = i + 1 (First day at Hotel Carolina)
- {1,2,3,...} ~ {2,4,6,...} f(i) = 2i (Third day at Hotel Carolina)

• {..., -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ...} ~
$$\{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$$

 $f(2i) = i, f(2i + 1) = -i$

•
$$\left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sim \mathbb{R} f(x) = \tan x.$$



Cardinality

Definition

If $A \sim B$, then A and B are said to have the same *cardinality*. The cardinality of a set A is denoted by |A|. The cardinality of the natural numbers is denoted by \aleph_0 . A set A is finite if it is empty or $A \sim \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ for some n. A set is *countable* if it is finite or it is of cardinality \aleph_0 .


Cardinality

Definition

If $A \sim B$, then A and B are said to have the same *cardinality*. The cardinality of a set A is denoted by |A|. The cardinality of the natural numbers is denoted by \aleph_0 . A set A is finite if it is empty or $A \sim \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ for some n. A set is *countable* if it is finite or it is of cardinality \aleph_0 .

Theorem

If A is infinite then it has a subset A' of cardinality \aleph_0 .



Definition

The cardinality of set *A* is less than or equal to that of set *B* if there exists a one-to-one mapping *f* of *A* to a subset of *B*. In notation $|A| \leq |B|$.



Definition

The cardinality of set *A* is less than or equal to that of set *B* if there exists a one-to-one mapping *f* of *A* to a subset of *B*. In notation $|A| \le |B|$.

Example

•
$$|\{0,1,\ldots,n\}| \leq |\{0,1,\ldots,m\}| \iff n \leq m$$



Definition

The cardinality of set *A* is less than or equal to that of set *B* if there exists a one-to-one mapping *f* of *A* to a subset of *B*. In notation $|A| \le |B|$.

Example

- $|\{0,1,\ldots,n\}| \leq |\{0,1,\ldots,m\}| \iff n \leq m$
- |{0,1,...,n}| ≤ |ℕ| ≤ |ℤ| ≤ |ℚ| ≤ |ℝ| The one-to-one mapping is the identity in each case.



Definition

The cardinality of set *A* is less than or equal to that of set *B* if there exists a one-to-one mapping *f* of *A* to a subset of *B*. In notation $|A| \le |B|$.

Example

- $|\{0,1,\ldots,n\}| \leq |\{0,1,\ldots,m\}| \iff n \leq m$
- |{0,1,...,n}| ≤ |ℕ| ≤ |ℤ| ≤ |ℚ| ≤ |ℝ| The one-to-one mapping is the identity in each case.
- $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$ if A is infinite.



Theorem

If $|A| \le |B|$ and $|A| \ge |B|$, then |A| = |B|.



Theorem

If
$$|A| \le |B|$$
 and $|A| \ge |B|$, then $|A| = |B|$.



Theorem

If
$$|A| \le |B|$$
 and $|A| \ge |B|$, then $|A| = |B|$.





Theorem

If
$$|A| \leq |B|$$
 and $|A| \geq |B|$, then $|A| = |B|$.





Theorem

If
$$|A| \leq |B|$$
 and $|A| \geq |B|$, then $|A| = |B|$.





Theorem

If
$$|A| \leq |B|$$
 and $|A| \geq |B|$, then $|A| = |B|$.





Theorem

If
$$|A| \leq |B|$$
 and $|A| \geq |B|$, then $|A| = |B|$.





Theorem

The union of countably many countable sets is itself countable.



Theorem

The union of countably many countable sets is itself countable.

This is the situation of Hotel Carolina after few years. **Proof**



Theorem

The union of countably many countable sets is itself countable.

This is the situation of Hotel Carolina after few years. **Proof** Let the sets be $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n, ...$ Furthermore, let the m^{th} element of A_n be $a_{n,m}$. A one-to-one mapping is given

from
$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$
 to \mathbb{N} .

For the sake of convenience (n, m) is written in place of $a_{n,m}$.





(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	
(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1)	(3,2)	(3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4, 1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	۰.



(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	
(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1)	(3,2)	(3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4, 1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	۰.



(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	•••
(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1)	(3,2)	(3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4, 1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	÷	:	:	÷	۰.







(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	
(2,1) ←	- (2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1)	(3,2)	(3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4, 1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	:	:	:	÷	۰.



(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	
(2,1) ←	(2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1)	(3,2) ←	(3,3) ((3,4)	(3,5)	
(4,1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	÷	÷		÷	۰.



(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	
(2,1) ←	- (2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1) ←	- (3,2) +	- (3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4, 1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	÷	÷		÷	۰.



(1,1)		(1,2)		(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	
(2,1)	←	(2,2)		(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1)	\leftarrow	(3,2)	\leftarrow	(3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4,1)		(4,2)		(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)		(5,2)		(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷		÷		÷	÷	÷	۰.



(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	•••
(2,1) <	- (2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	•••
(3,1) ←	- (3,2) <	- (3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4, 1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	۰.



(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)	•••
(2,1) ←	- (2,2)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(2,5)	
(3,1) ←	- (3,2) <	- (3,3)	(3,4)	(3,5)	
(4, 1)	(4,2)	(4,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	
(5,1)	(5,2)	(5,3)	(5,4)	(5,5)	
÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	۰.




















The mapping

In fact the following is just one of the possible mappings.



The mapping

In fact the following is just one of the possible mappings.



Cardinalities of ${\mathbb Q}$ and ${\mathbb R}$



What sets really are

Cardinalities of \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}

Proposition

 $\mathbb{Q} = \aleph_0.$



Cardinalities of \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}

Proposition

 $\mathbb{Q}=\aleph_0.$

Proof: Write $-\frac{n}{m}$ in place of (2n + 1, m) and $\frac{n}{m}$ in place of (2n, m) in the previous proof.



Cardinalities of \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}

Proposition

 $\mathbb{Q}=\aleph_0.$

Proof: Write $-\frac{n}{m}$ in place of (2n + 1, m) and $\frac{n}{m}$ in place of (2n, m) in the previous proof. Is it true that $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{R}$?



\mathbb{R} is uncountable

Theorem

 $\mathbb{R}>\aleph_0.$

Proof:



\mathbb{R} is uncountable

Theorem

 $\mathbb{R}>\aleph_0.$

Proof: We show that even for the open interval (0, 1) it is true that $|(0, 1)| > \aleph_0$.



\mathbb{R} is uncountable

Theorem

 $\mathbb{R} > \aleph_0.$

Proof: We show that even for the open interval (0, 1) it is true that $|(0, 1)| > \aleph_0$. Assume in contrary, that (0, 1) is countable, that is its elements can be listed $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n, \ldots$ Let number r_n be written in decimal form as $r_n = 0.d_{n1}d_{n2}d_{n3}\ldots$



 r_3

r₄

\mathbb{R} is uncountable

Theorem

$\mathbb{R} > \aleph_0.$

Proof: We show that even for the open interval (0, 1) it is true that $|(0, 1)| > \aleph_0$. Assume in contrary, that (0, 1) is countable, that is its elements can be listed $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n, \ldots$ Let number r_n be written in decimal form as $r_n = 0.d_{n1}d_{n2}d_{n3}\ldots$

- $r_1 = 0.d_{11}d_{12}d_{13}d_{14}d_{15}\ldots$
- $r_2 = 0.d_{21}d_{22}d_{23}d_{24}d_{25}\ldots$

$$= 0.d_{31}d_{32}d_{33}d_{34}d_{35}\dots$$

$$= 0.d_{41}d_{42}d_{43}d_{44}d_{35}\dots$$

$$r_5 = 0.d_{51}d_{52}d_{53}d_{55}d_{55}\dots$$

;



r1

 r_3

S

\mathbb{R} is uncountable

Theorem

$\mathbb{R} > \aleph_0.$

Proof: We show that even for the open interval (0, 1) it is true that $|(0,1)| > \aleph_0$. Assume in contrary, that (0, 1) is countable, that is its elements can be listed $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n, \ldots$ Let number r_n be written in decimal form as $r_n = 0.d_{n1}d_{n2}d_{n3}...$ Let s be the number whose j^{th} digit s_i is 1 if $d_{ii} \neq 1$ and $s_i = 2$, if $d_{ii} = 1$. This number s annot be on the list, since it differs from r_i at the *i*th digit.

 $= 0.d_{11}d_{12}d_{13}d_{14}d_{15}\dots$

$$r_2 = 0.d_{21}d_{22}d_{23}d_{24}d_{25}\dots$$

$$= 0.d_{31}d_{32}d_{33}d_{34}d_{35}\dots$$

$$r_4 = 0.d_{41}d_{42}d_{43}d_{44}d_{35}\dots$$

$$r_5 = 0.d_{51}d_{52}d_{53}d_{55}d_{55}\dots$$

$$= 0.s_1s_2s_3s_4s_5\ldots$$



•
$$(0,1) \sim \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) f(x) = \pi x - \frac{\pi}{2}.$$



•
$$(0,1) \sim \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) f(x) = \pi x - \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

• $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sim \mathbb{R} f(x) = \tan x.$



- $(0,1) \sim \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) f(x) = \pi x \frac{\pi}{2}.$ • $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sim \mathbb{R} f(x) = \tan x.$
- Thus, $|(0,1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$. This cardinality is denoted by \mathfrak{c} .





•
$$(0,1) \sim \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) f(x) = \pi x - \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

•
$$\left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sim \mathbb{R} f(x) = \tan x.$$

• Thus, $|(0,1)| = |\mathbb{R}|$. This cardinality is denoted by \mathfrak{c} .

•
$$\aleph_0 < \mathfrak{c}$$

• Are there even larger cardinalities?



Power set

Definition

The set of all subsets of a set *A* is called the *power set* of *A* and it is denoted by $\mathfrak{P}(A)$.



Power set

Definition

The set of all subsets of a set *A* is called the *power set* of *A* and it is denoted by $\mathfrak{P}(A)$.

Theorem

 $|A| < |\mathfrak{P}(A)|.$



Power set

Definition

The set of all subsets of a set *A* is called the *power set* of *A* and it is denoted by $\mathfrak{P}(A)$.

Theorem

 $|A| < |\mathfrak{P}(A)|.$

Proof: The inequality $|A| \le |\mathfrak{P}(A)|$ is shown by the mapping $a \mapsto \{a\}$. Thus, we only need to prova that $|A| \ne |\mathfrak{P}(A)|$. Assume indirectly that there exists a one-to-one mapping $f: A \to \mathfrak{P}(A)$ such that every element of $\mathfrak{P}(A)$ is in the range of f.





If b ∈ B, then by the definition of B we have b ∉ f(b) = B, a contradiction.



- If b ∈ B, then by the definition of B we have b ∉ f(b) = B, a contradiction.
- If b ∉ B = f(B), then by the definition of B, b ∈ B must hold, a contradiction.



- If b ∈ B, then by the definition of B we have b ∉ f(b) = B, a contradiction.
- If b ∉ B = f(B), then by the definition of B, b ∈ B must hold, a contradiction.

Thus our starting assumption that $|A| = |\mathfrak{P}(A)|$ must be false, so $|A| < |\mathfrak{P}(A)|$.



- If b ∈ B, then by the definition of B we have b ∉ f(b) = B, a contradiction.
- If b ∉ B = f(B), then by the definition of B, b ∈ B must hold, a contradiction.

Thus our starting assumption that $|A| = |\mathfrak{P}(A)|$ must be false, so $|A| < |\mathfrak{P}(A)|$.

For every cardinality there exists a larger one!

